

KINGS LAW REPORTS

(ALL SC/NOVEL CA)

(2002) KLR VOL 7 PART 145 pp. 2389 - 2516

JULY 2002

Dedicated to the King of kings

O. O. NOEL ESQ. Founder/Publisher

C. L. Onwuegbuna Esq. Chief Editor

INDEX OF CASES REPORTED

JULY CONTD.

17. Nwokedi v. Okugo p. 2389
18. Okafor v. Ezenwa p. 2397
19. Olokotintin v. Sarumi p. 2417
20. Orthopedic Hospital Mgt. Board v. Garba p. 2425
21. Texaco Overseas Petroleum Co. Unltd. v. Pedmar Nig Ltd (1) p. 2449
22. Texaco Overseas Petroleum Co. Unltd. v. Pedmar Nig Ltd (2) p. 2465
23. Uka v. Irolo p. 2483

ii **INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2002) 7 KLR**

ADMIRALTY - Jurisdiction - Invocation - Condition precedent - Admiralty jurisdiction of Federal High Court cannot be invoked - Once goods carried by ship have been discharged in the harbour (H2) *Texaco Overseas Petrol. Co Unltd v. Pedmar Nig Ltd* (2) p. 2465

AGENCY - Contract - Agent - Liability - Agent of a disclosed principal is not personally liable - On a contract he enters on behalf of the principal (H6) *Okafor v. Ezenwa* p. 2397

APPEALS - Concurrent findings - To justify intervention by Supreme Court - Appellant must show that the findings were made erroneously - Or were arrived at through wrongful evaluation of facts or applicable laws (H3) *Texaco Overseas Petrol. Co Unltd v. Pedmar Nig Ltd* (2) p. 2465

APPEALS - Court - Issues - *Suo motu* raising - Propriety - Court of Appeal was in error for failing to give counsel time - To prepare for address on the issue raised *suo motu* (H1) *Orthopedic Hospital Mgt. Board v. Garba* p. 2425

APPEALS - Cross appeal - Need for - Respondent ought to file cross appeal - To specifically challenge the admission of Exhibits C-C2 (H2) *Texaco Overseas Petroleum Co Unltd v. Pedmar Nig Ltd* (1) p. 2449

APPEALS - Damages - Interest - Award - Basis - For cross appellant to be awarded anything above statutory interest - There must be evidence of right to that sum on record (H3) *Texaco Overseas Petroleum Co Unltd v. Pedmar Nig Ltd* (1) p. 2449

APPEALS - Judgment - Error - Court of Appeal was in error - When it upheld the plea of *res judicata* - In favour of respondents (H2) *Nwokedi v. Okugo* p. 2389

APPEALS - Supreme Court - Issues - Resolution - Supreme Court can resolve an issue not based on credibility of witnesses - But on inference to be drawn from proved facts (H7) *Uka v. Irolo* p. 2483

COMMERCIAL LAW - Debt - Actions - Basis - The case is not based

on admiralty - But on the debt owed by appellant to respondent (H1) *Texaco Overseas Petrol. Co Unltd v. Pedmar Nig Ltd* (2) p. 2465

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW - Constitution Decree No. 107 of 1993 - Purpose - The Decree was enacted to restore and suspend some - And modify other provisions of 1979 Constitution (H2) *Orthopedic Hospital Mgt. Board v. Garba* p. 2425

CONTRACTS - Breach - Jurisdiction - Determination - By O.4 r.3 High Court Rules of Anambra State - Jurisdiction can be decided by where contract was made - Where it ought to have been performed - And where defendant resides (H3) *Okafor v. Ezenwa* p. 2397

COURTS - Issues - Failure to determine - Effect - Failure to consider all issues joined by parties - May or may not result in setting aside decision reached - Depending on whether miscarriage of justice is occasioned (H1) *Uka v. Irolo* p. 2483

COURTS - Jurisdiction - Proof - Burden of - Since respondent asserted that Awka High Court was proper venue for the suit - Burden is on him to prove same (H2) *Okafor v. Ezenwa* p. 2397

DAMAGES - Award - Additional interest - Proof - For cross appellant to be awarded additional interest - It must adduce evidence of right to the interest (H4) *Texaco Overseas Petrol. Co Unltd v. Pedmar Nig Ltd* (2) p. 2465

JUDGMENTS - Issue - Miscarriage of justice - It is a misdirection to assume that a miscarriage of justice in an issue - Renders other findings in the judgment perverse (H4) *Uka v. Irolo* p. 2483

JUDGMENTS - Perverse decision - Meaning - Decision is perverse when it runs counter to evidence - Where court considered extraneous matters - Or when miscarriage of justice was occasioned (H5) *Uka v. Irolo* p. 2483

JURISDICTION - Determination - Basis - Jurisdiction of Awka High Court over the suit - Shall be determined from oral or affidavit evi-

iv **INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2002) 7 KLR**

dence available (H1) Okafor v. Ezenwa p. 2397

JURISDICTION - Federal High Court - Admiralty jurisdiction of the court cannot be invoked - Once goods carried by a ship have been discharged - Or delivered to destination point of the cargo (H1) Texaco Overseas Petroleum Co Unltd v. Pedmar Nig Ltd (1) p. 2449

JURISDICTION - Proof - Unless respondent clearly shows that he resided within jurisdiction of Awka High Court - The case cannot be heard by the court (H4) Okafor v. Ezenwa p. 2397

JUSTICE - Miscarriage of justice - Basis - To constitute miscarriage of justice - There must be a departure from rules - Which permeates all judicial procedure (H6) Uka v. Irolo p. 2483

LAND LAW - Judgment - Conferment of title - The 1957 judgment did not vest title on respondents - Even though claims of appellants were dismissed (H1) Nwokedi v. Okugo p. 2389

LAND LAW - Title - Conflicting claims - Proof - Onus lies on both sides to prove to court - That they are exclusive owners of the land - And this must be decided on balance of probabilities (H3) Uka v. Irolo p. 2483

LAND LAW - Title - Proof - Idundun v. Okumagba - Ownership of land can be proved - By traditional evidence - Document of title - Positive acts of ownership - Acts of long possession - Prove of ownership of adjacent land (H2) Uka v. Irolo p. 2483

LAND LAW - Title - Proof - Plaintiff seeking declaration of title to land - Must by cogent and credible evidence - Show that he is entitled to same - And not relying on the weakness of defendants' case (H1) Olokotintin v. Sarumi p. 2417

LAND LAW - Title - Root of - Failure to prove - Effect - Since plaintiff failed to establish how the land devolved on him - His claims ought to fail (H2) Olokotintin v. Sarumi p. 2417

STATUTES - Repealing - Effect on existing rights - By s.6(1)(c) Interpretation Act - Rights accrued to individual shall not be affected - By the repeal of an enactment (H3) Orthopedic Hospital Mgt. Board v. Garba p. 2425

WORDS & PHRASES - Statutes - "Court" - Meaning - Court as used in s. 22 of High Court Law of Eastern Nigeria - Means the present High Court of Anambra State (H6) Okafor v. Ezenwa p. 2397

INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES

Admiralty Jurisdiction Act No. 59 of 1991, s. 2(2)(f) Texaco Overseas Petroleum Co Unltd v. Pedmar Nig Ltd (1) p. 2449

Anambra State High Court Rules 1988, O. 4 r. 3 Okafor v. Ezenwa p. 2397

Constitution (Suspension & Modification) Decree No. 107 of 1993, s. 230(1)(q)(r)(s) Orthopedic Hospital Mgt. Board v. Garba p. 2425

Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria 1999, s. 251(1)(g) Texaco Overseas Petroleum Co Unltd v. Pedmar Nig Ltd (1) p. 2449

Federal High Court (Amendment) Decree No. 60 of 1991, s. 7(6)(a)(b) Orthopedic Hospital Mgt. Board v. Garba p. 2425

Federal High Court Act, s. 22(2) Texaco Overseas Petroleum Co Unltd v. Pedmar Nig Ltd (1) p. 2449

High Court Law of Eastern Nigeria 1963, s. 22 Okafor v. Ezenwa p. 2397

High Court of Lagos State (Civil Procedure) Rules O. 38 r. 7 Texaco

vi ***INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES IN (2002) 7 KLR***

Overseas Petrol. Co Unltd v. Pedmar Nig Ltd (2) p. 2465

High Court of Plateau State (Civil Procedure) Rules 1976, O. 27 r. 8
Texaco Overseas Petroleum Co Unltd v. Pedmar Nig Ltd (1) p. 2449

Interpretation Act, s. 6(1)(c) Orthopedic Hospital Mgt. Board v. Garba
p. 2425